hep-th/9804167

HARMONIC SUPERSPACES FOR THREE-DIMENSIONAL THEORIES *

B. M. Zupnik†

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

arXiv:hep-th/9804167v1 27 Apr 1998

Abstract

Three-dimensional field theories with $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ supersymmetries are considered in the framework of the harmonic- superspace approach. Analytic superspaces of these supersymmetries are similar; however, the geometry of gauge theories with the manifest $N = 3$ is richer and admits construction of the topological mass term.

1 Introduction

Three-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories have been intensively studied in the framework of new nonperturbative methods in the field theory [1, 2]. Superfield description of the simplest $D = 3$, $N = 1, 2$ theories and various applications have earlier been discussed in refs. [3 – 10]. The most interesting features of $D = 3$ theories are connected with the Chern-Simons terms for gauge fields and also with duality between vector and scalar fields.

We shall discuss the harmonic-superfield formalism of three-dimensional theories with the extended supersymmetries, which reflects the intrinsic geometry of these theories relevant for quantum description. The formulation of $D = 3$, $N = 4$ superfield theories is analogous to the harmonic formalism of $D = 4$, $N = 2$ theories [11, 12, 13], although the existence of different $SU(2)$ automorphism groups allows one to choose various versions of harmonic superspaces. General $N = 3$ superfields are not covariant with respect to $N = 4$ supersymmetry, but the analytic $N = 3$ superfields are equivalent to the corresponding $N = 4$ superfields. However, interactions with the manifest $N = 3$ require a specific geometric description [14, 15, 16], which does not guarantee conservation of the additional 4-th supersymmetry. The harmonic $N = 3$ superspace of ref.[14] has been based on the use of isovector harmonics, and now we consider the improved version of the harmonic formalism for these theories. Note that field models with $N = 3$ supersymmetry (in distinction with $N = 4$ models) are dual to the dynamics of non-orthogonal intersections of branes [17, 18].

Our conventions for the (2,1)-dimensional γ-matrices are

$$(\gamma_m)_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma_n)^{\beta\rho} + (\gamma_n)_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma_m)^{\beta\rho} = 2\eta_{mn}\delta^\rho_\alpha \ , \quad (\gamma_m)_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \varepsilon_{\alpha\rho}(\gamma_m)^\rho_\beta \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where η_{mn} is the metric with signature $(1, -1, -1)$ and $\alpha, \beta \ldots$ are the $SL(2, R)$ spinor indices. We also shall use the basic notation of ref.[11] for the isospinor harmonics $u^\pm_i$.

*This work is based on the talk given at the International Seminar "Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries" dedicated to the memory of Prof. V.I. Ogievetsky (Dubna, July 22-26, 1997).

†On leave of absence from Institute of Applied Physics, Tashkent State University, Uzbekistan.

2 N=4 harmonic superspace

Superfield models with $D = 3,\ N = 4$ supersymmetry can be studied via the dimensional reduction of $D = 4,\ N = 2$ superfield theories. We shall discuss $D = 3,\ N = 4$ harmonic superspace by analogy with refs. [11, 12]. Let $z^M = (x^{\alpha\beta}, \theta^\alpha_{ka})$ be the *central* coordinates of the general $D = 3,\ N = 4$ superspace SS^4_3, where $k, l \ldots$ and $a, b \ldots$ are indices of the automorphism groups $SU_{L}(2)$ and $SU_{R}(2)$, respectively. In the superspace without central charges, the relations between basic spinor derivatives are

$$\{D^{ka}_\alpha, D^{lb}_\beta\} = 2i\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon^{ab}\partial_{\alpha\beta} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where $D^{ka}_\alpha = (D^k_\alpha \ , \bar{D}^k_\alpha)$ and $\partial_{\alpha\beta} = (\gamma^m)_{\alpha\beta}\partial_m$.

The superfield constraints of $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory SYM^4_3 can be written as follows:

$$\{\nabla^{ka}_\alpha, \nabla^{lb}_\beta\} = 2i\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon^{ab}\nabla_{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{kl}W^{ab}_{L} \ , \tag{2.2}$$

where ∇_M are covariant derivatives with superfield connections and W^{ab}_{L} is a tensor superfield of the SYM^4_3 theory satisfying the constraint

$$\nabla^{ia}_\alpha W^{bc}_{L} + \nabla^{ib}_\alpha W^{ca}_{L} + \nabla^{ic}_\alpha W^{ab}_{L} = 0 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Below we shall discuss the alternative convention for the SYM^4_3 constraints.

Introduce the notation $W = W^{22}_{L}$ and $\overline{W} = W^{11}_{L}$. The constraint for the Abelian gauge theory produces the following relations:

$$D^k_\alpha \overline{W} = 0 \ , \quad \bar{D}^k_\alpha W = 0 \ , \tag{2.4}$$

$$D^{k\alpha} D^l_\alpha W = \bar{D}^{k\alpha}\bar{D}^l_\alpha \overline{W} \ , \tag{2.5}$$

which are analogous to the constraints of $N = 2,\ D = 4$ vector multiplet.

One can also consider the on-shell constraints for different hypermultiplet superfields q^{kb}_{L} and q^{kb}_{R}

$$\nabla^{ia}_\alpha q^{kb}_{L} + \nabla^{ka}_\alpha q^{ib}_{L} = 0 \ , \tag{2.6}$$

$$\nabla^{ia}_\alpha q^{kb}_{R} + \nabla^{ib}_\alpha q^{ka}_{R} = 0 \ . \tag{2.7}$$

The coset space of the automorphism group $SU(2)$ plays an important role in the harmonic description of $N = 2,\ D = 4$ superfield theory. In the harmonic approach to $N = 4,\ D = 3$ theory we can use, alternatively, the harmonic variables for cosets spanned on the generators of the corresponding $SU(2)$ groups L, R or $(L + R)$. Let us firstly consider the harmonics $u^\pm_i$ for the group $SU_{L}(2)$. Using the standard harmonic methods of ref.[11] we can transform the constraints (2.2,2.6) into the following integrability (L-analyticity) condition:

$$\{\nabla^{+a}_\alpha, \nabla^{+b}_\beta\} = 0 \ , \quad \nabla^{+a}_\alpha = u^+_i \nabla^{ia}_\alpha \ , \tag{2.8}$$

$$\nabla^{+a}_\alpha q^{+b} = 0, \quad q^{+a} = u^+_i q^{ia}_{L} \ . \tag{2.9}$$

By analogy with the $D = 4,\ N = 2$ case, one can use the L-analytic basis for the SYM^4_3 theory

$$\nabla^{+a}_\alpha = D^{+a}_\alpha = \partial/\partial\theta^{\alpha-}_a \ , \tag{2.10}$$

$$\nabla^{++} = D^{++} + V^{++}_{L} \ , \quad D^{+a}_\alpha V^{++}_{L} = 0 \ , \tag{2.11}$$

where $D^{+a}_{\alpha} = (D^{+}_{\alpha},\ \bar{D}^{+}_{\alpha})$ and V^{++}_{L} is the prepotential of SYM^4_3 depending on the coordinates $\zeta_{L} = (x^{\alpha\beta}_{L},\ \theta^{+\alpha}_{a})$ of the L-analytic superspace LSS^4_3. In the physical gauge, it contains the components of the $D = 3,\ N = 4$ vector multiplet

$$V^{++}_{WZ} = (\theta^{+a}\theta^{+b})\Phi_{(ab)}(x_{L}) + i(\theta^{+a}\gamma^{m}\theta^{+}_{a})A_{m}(x_{L}) + \\ +(\theta^{+a}\theta^{+b})\theta^{+\alpha}_{b}u^{-}_{k}\lambda^{k}_{a\alpha}(x_{L}) + (\theta^{+})^{4}u^{-}_{k}u^{-}_{l}X^{(kl)}(x_{L})\ . \tag{2.12}$$

The solution of the constraint (2.3) has the following form in the harmonic approach:

$$W^{ab}_{L} = D^{+a\alpha}D^{+b}_{\alpha}V^{--}_{L}(V^{++}_{L})\ , \tag{2.13}$$

where the standard solution for the 2-nd harmonic connection V^{--}_{L} [13, 19] is considered.

The analytic superfield q^{+a} with the infinite number of auxiliary fields is the complete analog of the corresponding $D = 4$ hypermultiplet representation. An alternative form of the analytic hypermultiplet can be obtained with the help of the harmonic duality transform $q^{+a} = u^{+a}\omega + u^{-a}F^{++}$ [12]. On mass shell, these hypermultiplets have the following components:

$$q^{+a}_{0} = u^{+}_{k}f^{ka}(x_{L}) + \theta^{+b\alpha}\psi^{a}_{b\alpha}(x_{L})\ , \tag{2.14}$$

$$\omega_{0} = f(x_{L}) + u^{+}_{k}u^{-}_{l}f^{(kl)}(x_{L}) + \theta^{+b\alpha}u^{-}_{k}\psi^{k}_{b\alpha}(x_{L})\ . \tag{2.15}$$

The holomorphic effective action of the Abelian $N = 4$ gauge theory contains the chiral superfield $W = \int du\ (\bar{D}^{-})^{2}V^{++}_{L}$ [20]. One can consider the equivalent chiral and analytic representations of this action

$$i\int d^{3}xd^{4}\theta\ \mathcal{F}(W) + \text{c.c.} = i\int d\zeta^{(-4)}_{L}du\ V^{++}_{L}(D^{+})^{2}\frac{\mathcal{F}(W)}{W} + \text{c.c.}\ , \tag{2.16}$$

where $d\zeta^{(-4)}_{L}$ is the integral measure in LSS^4_3 and $d^4\theta$ is the spinor measure in the chiral superspace.

The u-independent chiral superfield A can be used for the construction of the complex analytic superfield $C^{++} = (D^{+})^{2}A$ satisfying the additional harmonic constraint $D^{++}C^{++} = 0$. The superfield A is treated as a dual variable with respect to the Abelian 'magnetic' gauge superfield V^{++}_{M} . The effective action of this system contains V^{++}_{M} as a Lagrange multiplier

$$i\int d^{3}xd^{4}\theta\ \mathcal{F}(A) + i\int d\zeta^{(-4)}du\ V^{++}_{M}(D^{+})^{2}A + \text{c.c.}\ . \tag{2.17}$$

One can obtain the reality constraint (2.5) for A and the relation

$$\mathcal{F}'(A) = -\int du\ (\bar{D}^{-})^{2}V^{++}_{M} \tag{2.18}$$

varying this action with respect to the superfields V^{++}_{M} and A, respectively. Note that the holomorphic representation with chiral superfields breaks the $SU_{R}(2)$ automorphism group.

We shall not consider here the classical action and quantization of the SYM^4_3 theory since it can be obtained by a dimensional reduction from the known SYM^2_4 theory.

Consider the Abelian case of the constraint (2.7) for the R-hypermultiplet and define the harmonic projection $R^{-a} \equiv u^{-}_{k}q^{ka}_{R}$. In the L-analytic basis, the basic relations for this harmonic superfield are

$$D^{+a}_{\alpha}R^{-b} + D^{+b}_{\alpha}R^{-a} = 0\ , \quad (D^{++})^{2}R^{-a} = 0\ , \tag{2.19}$$

where the 1-st relation is treated as the constraint and the 2-nd one as the equation of motion. Using the relation $\{D^{+a}_\alpha, D^{-b}_\beta\} = -2i\varepsilon^{ab}\partial_{\alpha\beta}$ one can obtain a general covariant solution of the constraint which contains the L-analytic bosonic and fermionic superfields b^{-a} and f^α

$$R^{-a} = b^{-a} + D^{-a}_\alpha f^\alpha \ . \tag{2.20}$$

The harmonic equation of motion is equivalent to the equation $D^{--}R^{-a} = 0$.

Thus, the hypermultiplet R^{-a} (or its derivative $R^{+a} = D^{++}R^{-a}$) is reduced to the pair of L-analytic superfields and their interactions can be described in LSS^4_3. On-shell it has the same components as the superfield q^{ka}_R.

One can identify the indices of the left and right automorphism groups and use the $SU_C(2)$-covariant spinor $N = 4$ coordinates and supersymmetry parameters

$$\theta^\alpha_{kl} = \theta^\alpha_{(kl)} + \varepsilon_{kl}\,\theta^\alpha \ , \quad \epsilon^\alpha_{kl} = \epsilon^\alpha_{(kl)} + \varepsilon_{kl}\,\epsilon^\alpha \ , \tag{2.21}$$

where the isovector and isoscalar parts are introduced. The alternative C-form of the SYM^4_3 constraints contains the isovector superfield W^{kl}

$$\{\nabla^{km}_\alpha, \nabla^{ln}_\beta\} = 2i\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon^{mn}\nabla_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(\varepsilon^{kl}W^{mn} + \varepsilon^{mn}W^{kl}) \ . \tag{2.22}$$

This representation allows us to separate the isoscalar covariant derivative

$$\{\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta\} = i\nabla_{\alpha\beta} \ , \quad \{\nabla_\alpha, \nabla^{(kl)}_\beta\} = 0 \ . \tag{2.23}$$

The 2-nd relation is a conventional constraint which depends on a choice of the $SU(2)$-frame. Below we shall discuss the commutation relations between the isovector $N = 3$ covariant derivatives which are frame-independent.

3 New formulation of N=3 harmonic superspace

Let us consider now the new harmonic projections of the $N = 4$ spinor coordinates (2.21)

$$\theta^{\alpha\pm\pm} = u^\pm_k u^\pm_l \theta^{\alpha kl} \ , \quad \theta^{\alpha\pm\mp} = u^\pm_k u^\mp_l \theta^{\alpha kl} \ . \tag{3.1}$$

Coordinates of LSS^4_3 in the new representation are $\zeta_L = (x^{\alpha\beta}_L,\ \theta^{\alpha++},\ \theta^{\alpha+-})$ where

$$x^{\alpha\beta}_L = x^{\alpha\beta} + i(\theta^{\alpha++}\theta^{\beta--} + \theta^{\beta++}\theta^{\alpha--} - \theta^{\alpha+-}\theta^{\beta-+} - \theta^{\beta+-}\theta^{\alpha-+}) \ .$$

The infinitesimal $N = 4$ spinor transformations have the following form in these coordinates:

$$\delta x^{\alpha\beta}_L = \{2iu^{-k}u^{-l}\epsilon^\alpha_{(kl)}\theta^{\beta++} + 2i[\epsilon^\alpha - u^{-k}u^{+l}\epsilon^\alpha_{(kl)}]\theta^{\beta+-}\} + \{\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta\} \ , \tag{3.2}$$

$$\delta\theta^{\alpha++} = u^{+k}u^{+l}\epsilon^\alpha_{(kl)} \ , \quad \delta\theta^{\alpha+-} = \epsilon^\alpha + u^{+k}u^{-l}\epsilon^\alpha_{(kl)} \ , \tag{3.3}$$

where ϵ^α is an isoscalar parameter of the 4-th supersymmetry. Using the subgroup with $\epsilon^\alpha = 0$ one can describe $N = 3$ supersymmetry in this L-analytic superspace.

A three-dimensional $N = 3$ supersymmetry can also be realized in the superspace SS^3_3 with the coordinates $z = (x^{\alpha\beta}, \theta^\alpha_{(kl)})$. The corresponding superfields do not depend on the

isoscalar coordinate θ^α and are not covariant with respect to the 4-th supersymmetry [1]. The constraints of SYM_3^3 in this superspace are

$$\{\nabla_\alpha^{(km)}, \nabla_\beta^{(ln)}\} = i(\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon^{mn}+\varepsilon^{ml}\varepsilon^{kn})\nabla_{\alpha\beta}+\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(\varepsilon^{kl}W^{mn}+\varepsilon^{ml}W^{kn}+\varepsilon^{mn}W^{kl}+\varepsilon^{kn}W^{ml}) , \quad (3.4)$$

where all connections do not depend on θ^α.

Let us introduce the alternative analytic coordinates of the $N = 3$ harmonic superspace ASS_3^3

$$x_A^{\alpha\beta} = x^{\alpha\beta} + i(\theta^{\alpha++}\theta^{\beta--} + \theta^{\beta++}\theta^{\alpha--}) , \quad (3.5)$$

$$\theta^{\alpha++} = u_k^+ u_l^+ \theta^{\alpha(kl)} , \quad \theta^{\alpha0} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta^{\alpha+-} + \theta^{\alpha-+}) = u_k^+ u_l^- \theta^{\alpha(kl)} . \quad (3.6)$$

It should be stressed that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the analytic $N = 4$ and $N = 3$ superfields.

Spinor derivatives have the following form in this $N = 3$ superspace:

$$D_\alpha^{++} = \partial_\alpha^{++} = \partial/\partial\theta^{\alpha--} , \quad D_\alpha^{--} = \partial_\alpha^{--} - 2i\theta^{\beta--}\partial_{\alpha\beta}^A , \quad (3.7)$$

$$D_\alpha^0 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha^0 - i\theta^{\beta0}\partial_{\alpha\beta}^A , \quad \partial_\alpha^{\pm\pm}\theta^{\beta\mp\mp} = \partial_\alpha^0\theta^{\beta0} = \delta_\alpha^\beta . \quad (3.8)$$

The corresponding covariant harmonic derivatives are

$$D^{++} = \partial^{++} - 2i\theta^{\alpha++}\theta^{\beta0}\partial_{\alpha\beta}^A + \theta^{\alpha++}\partial_\alpha^0 + 2\theta^{\alpha0}\partial_\alpha^{++} , \quad (3.9)$$

$$D^{--} = \partial^{--} + 2i\theta^{\alpha--}\theta^{\beta0}\partial_{\alpha\beta}^A + \theta^{\alpha--}\partial_\alpha^0 + 2\theta^{\alpha0}\partial_\alpha^{--} , \quad (3.10)$$

$$[D^{--}, D_\alpha^{++}] = [D^{++}, D_\alpha^{--}] = 2D_\alpha^0 , \quad [D^{\pm\pm}, D_\alpha^0] = D_\alpha^{\pm\pm} . \quad (3.11)$$

Analytic $N = 3$ superfields do not depend on θ^{--} and are unconstrained objects in ASS_3^3.

The $N = 3$ covariant derivatives $\nabla_\alpha^{(kl)}$ in the central basis can be transformed to the harmonized covariant derivatives of the SYM_3^3 theory in the basis with the analytic gauge group

$$\nabla_\alpha^{++} = D_\alpha^{++} , \quad \nabla^{++} = D^{++} + V^{++} , \quad (3.12)$$

$$\nabla^{--} = D^{--} + V^{--}(V^{++}) , \quad \nabla_\alpha^0 = D_\alpha^0 - \frac{1}{2}D_\alpha^{++}V^{--} , \quad (3.13)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^{--} = [\nabla^{--}, \nabla_\alpha^0] , \quad (3.14)$$

where V^{++} is the analytic gauge prepotential in the adjoint representation of the gauge group, and $V^{--}(V^{++})$ is the solution of the zero-curvature equation for harmonic connections [13, 19]. In the physical WZ-gauge the prepotential contains the components of the $N = 3$ vector supermultiplet

$$V_{WZ}^{++} = (\theta^{++})^2 u_k^- u_l^- \Phi^{(kl)}(x_A) + i(\theta^{++}\gamma^m\theta^0)A_m(x_A) + (\theta^0)^2\theta^{\alpha++}\lambda_\alpha(x_A) + \\ +(\theta^{++})^2\theta^{\alpha0}u_k^- u_l^-\lambda_\alpha^{(kl)}(x_A) + (\theta^{++})^2(\theta^0)^2 u_k^- u_l^- X^{(kl)}(x_A) , \quad (3.15)$$

[1] In ref.[14], we have used the isovector $N = 3$ spinor coordinates $\theta_B^\alpha = (1/2)(\tau_B)^{kl}\theta_{(kl)}^\alpha$.

which are analogous to the $N = 4$ components (2.12) with identified L and R isospinor indices.

The basic superfield tensor of SYM_3^3 is analytic

$$W^{++} = \frac{1}{2} D^{\alpha++} D_\alpha^{++} V^{--} \tag{3.16}$$

and satisfies the additional H-constraint (Bianchi identity)

$$\nabla^{++} W^{++} \equiv 0 \ . \tag{3.17}$$

It is a specific feature of the SYM_3^3 theory that the prepotential V^{++} and its superfield-strength W^{++} belong to the same analytic superspace ASS_3^3 .

Let us define integral measures in the full and analytic $N = 3$ harmonic superspaces

$$d^9 z_{_A} = \frac{1}{64} d^3 x_{_A} (D^{\alpha++} D_\alpha^{++})(D^{\alpha--} D_\alpha^{--})(D^{\alpha 0} D_\alpha^0) \ , \tag{3.18}$$

$$d\zeta^{(-4)} = \frac{1}{16} d^3 x_{_A} (D^{\alpha--} D_\alpha^{--})(D^{\alpha 0} D_\alpha^0) \ . \tag{3.19}$$

Note that these measures have dimensions $d = 0$ and 1 , respectively.

The standard kinetic term of the SYM_3^3 action is

$$S_k = \frac{1}{g^2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \ \mathrm{Tr} \ W^{++} W^{++} \ , \tag{3.20}$$

where g is the coupling constant with dimension $d = -1/2$.

The effective action of the Abelian $N = 3$ theory contains an arbitrary function of the H-constrained superfield W^{++}

$$\int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \ \mathcal{G}^{(+4)}(W^{++}, u) = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \ [\tau (W^{++})^2 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} c^{l_1 \dots l_{2p}} u_{l_1}^- \dots u_{l_{2p}}^- (W^{++})^{p+2}] \ , \tag{3.21}$$

where $\tau, c^{l_1 \dots l_{2p}}$ are some constants. It is clear that only quadratic term of the general action conserves the $SU_{_C}(2)$ symmetry. This analytic representation of the low-energy effective action is alternative to the holomorphic $N = 4$ representation (2.16).

The interaction of the gauge superfield $W^{++}(V^{++})$ is dual to the following interaction of the unconstrained real analytic superfields ω and A^{++}:

$$\int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \ [\mathcal{G}^{(+4)}(A^{++}, u) + A^{++} D^{++} \omega] \ . \tag{3.22}$$

Varying ω yields the constraint $D^{++} A^{++} = 0$. This action is the first-order form of the special interaction of ω and $D^{++}\omega$, although the elimination of the superfield A^{++} is a non-trivial algebraic problem for the general function $\mathcal{G}^{(+4)}$.

An important feature of the SYM_3^3 theory is the existence of a topological mass (Chern-Simons) term [14]. In the improved $N = 3$ harmonic formalism this term can be constructed by the analogy with the action of SYM_4^2 [19]

$$S_m = \frac{m}{g^2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \int d^9 z du_1 \dots du_n \frac{\mathrm{Tr} \ [V^{++}(z, u_1) \dots V^{++}(z, u_n)]}{(u_1^+ u_2^+) \dots (u_n^+ u_1^+)} \tag{3.23}$$

where $(u_1^+u_2^+)^{-1}$ is the harmonic distribution [12]. Note that the measure d^9z in this term is not covariant with respect to the 4-th supersymmetry. The analytic version of the topological mass term and the Fayet-Iliopoulos term for the Abelian theory has the following form:

$$S_m + S_{FI} = \frac{1}{g^2}\int d\zeta^{(-4)}du\ (mV^{++}W^{++} + \xi^{++}V^{++})\ . \tag{3.24}$$

The action $S_k + S_m + S_{FI}$ yields the free equation of motion for the $N = 3$ Abelian gauge theory

$$[(D^{\alpha 0}D^0_\alpha) + m]\ W^{++} + \xi^{++} = 0\ . \tag{3.25}$$

This equation has the following vacuum solutions:

$$W^{++} = -\frac{1}{m}\xi^{++} \quad \text{for } m \neq 0\ , \tag{3.26}$$

$$W^{++} = a^{(kl)}u_k^+u_l^+ - 2[(\theta^0)^2\xi^{++} - 2(\theta^{++}\theta^0)\xi^0 + (\theta^{++})^2\xi^{--}] \quad \text{for } m = 0\ , \tag{3.27}$$

where $\xi^{\pm\pm} = \xi^{(kl)}u_k^\pm u_l^\pm$, $\xi^0 = (1/2)D^{++}\xi^{--}$ and $a^{(kl)}$ and $\xi^{(kl)}$ are arbitrary constants. Note that the first solution does not break supersymmetry.

For the case $m = 0,\ \xi^{(kl)} = 0$ we can study the background Abelian prepotential

$$V^{++} = \frac{1}{2}a^{(kl)}[(\theta^0)^2u_k^+u_l^+ - 2(\theta^{++}\theta^0)u_k^+u_l^- + (\theta^{++})^2u_k^-u_l^-]\ , \tag{3.28}$$

which introduces the $N = 3$ central charges and produces masses of charged superfields by analogy with ref.[21].

The minimal gauge interaction of the q^+ hypermultiplet has the following form:

$$\int d\zeta^{(-4)}du\ \bar{q}^+(D^{++} + V^{++})q^+\ . \tag{3.29}$$

The free hypermultiplet satisfies the equation $D^{++}q^+ = 0$ and contains a finite number of complex on-shell components

$$q_0^+ = u_k^+f^k(x_A) + (\theta^{\alpha++}u_k^- - \theta^{\alpha 0}u_k^+)\psi^k_\alpha(x_A)\ . \tag{3.30}$$

The real $N = 3$ ω-hypermultiplet has been described in ref. [14].

Note that the similar harmonic methods can be used for description of two-dimensional models with (3,3) supersymmetry.

The author is grateful to E. Ivanov and N. Ohta for stimulating discussions. This work is partially supported by grants RFBR-96-02-17634, RFBR-DFG-96-02-00180, INTAS-93-127-ext and INTAS-96-0308, and by grant of Uzbek Foundation of Basic Research N 11/97.

References

[1] K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg. Phys. Lett. B387 (1996) 513.

[2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten. In: Mathematical Beauty of Physics, ed. J. M. Drouffe and J. B. Zuber, p.333, World Scientific, 1997; hep-th/9607163.

[3] W. Siegel. Nucl. Phys. B156 (1979) 135.

[4] J.F. Schonfeld. Nucl. Phys. B185 (1981) 157.

[5] S.J. Gates., M.T. Grisaru, M. Roček and W. Siegel. Superspace or one thousand and one lessons in supersymmetry. Benjamin Cummings, Massachusetts, 1983.

[6] B.M. Zupnik and D.G. Pak. Theor. Math. Phys., 77 (1989) 1070.

[7] B.M. Zupnik and D.G. Pak. Clas. Quant. Grav., 6 (1989) 723.

[8] E.A. Ivanov. Phys. Lett. B268 (1991) 203.

[9] S.J. Gates and H. Nishino. Phys. Lett. B281 (1992) 72.

[10] L.V. Avdeev, D.I. Kazakov and I.N. Kondrashuk. Nucl. Phys. 56 (1993) 1573.

[11] A. Galperin , E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin , V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev. Class. Quant. Grav. 1 (1984) 469.

[12] A. Galperin , E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev. Class. Quant. Grav. 2 (1985) 601.

[13] B.M. Zupnik. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44 (1986) 512.

[14] B.M. Zupnik and D.V. Hetselius. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys., 47 (1988) 730.

[15] H.-C. Kao and K. Lee. Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 4691.

[16] H.-C. Kao. Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 2881.

[17] J.P. Gauntlett, G.W. Gibbons, G. Papadopoulos and P.K. Townsend. Nucl. Phys. B500 (1997) 133.

[18] N. Ohta and P.K. Townsend. Phys. Lett. B418 (1998) 77.

[19] B.M. Zupnik. Theor. Math. Phys. 69 (1987) 1101; Phys. Lett. B183 (1987) 175.

[20] E.A. Ivanov and B.M. Zupnik. Preprint JINR E2-97-322; hep-th/9710236.

[21] E.A. Ivanov, S.V. Ketov and B.M. Zupnik. Nucl. Phys. B509 (1998) 53.